Questions & Answers

Note: The questions & answers provided below reflect the releasable answers to RFQ Package questions as of 7 FEB 2008.

ID	Type of Question	Question	Releasable Answer	POC
105	Acquisition	In the DLA posting of IGC Q&A posted as of 28 December, 2007, question #214 asked "If the contractor is able to provide the Government with significant technical, cost or schedule improvements through the movement of requirements between spirals, would it be acceptable to move functionality specified within the PWS and still be considered a "compliant" proposal offering?" to which the Government's response was "Yes." If it is possible to move work between listed spirals (per Q&A reference #214), how will the government evaluate the fixed price proposals for Task 1 in order to have a common understanding of the work being priced so the cost proposals of each contractor team can be fairly evaluated?	It has been determined that in order to conduct a fair price evaluation, movement of requirements between spirals is not permitted and firms shall submit pricing in accordance with the Governments prescribed instructions as identified in the RFQ. See Amendment 0007.	Brian Dudek
127	Acquisition	All of the ROM tables (in both Addendums D and E) have the following labor category: Functional Business Analyst (includes the Client Financial Management Specialist). In all other cases where a Government Labor Category "includes" a key personnel position, that key position is contained in Addendum A (A-1 through A-10). I do not see any reference to the Client Financial Management Specialist in Addendum A. Please clarify.	Client Financial Management Specialist is not a Key Personnel	Brian Dudek
128	Acquisition	The government extended the submission date for the proposal to February 22, 2008. So the government has adequate time prior to Orals evaluate the proposal material, is it the intention of the government to also extend the dates for submission of the Orals slides and for Orals presentation?	No, Oral Slides are due on 22 FEB and the Oral Presentation will be held 26 - 28 FEB, both as scheduled.	Brian Dudek

Questions & Answers

Note: The questions & answers provided below reflect the releasable answers to RFQ Package questions as of 7 FEB 2008.

129	Requirements	In the Table of References on page D7 in Appendix D of the PWS, an Interface Design Description Document is defined as due in draft "delivered at initiation of IGC (nominally 90 days after Contract Award)". What interfaces during the transition period does this specifically refer to? Please clarify.	The interfaces for IGC Spiral 1. See table 2.5-4 on page 64 of PWS ver 1.7.	Brian Dudek
130	Requirements	The PWS Spiral 1 section High Side Production Standup has the following statement: "The ESP shall migrate the existing low-side pre-production platforms to the high-side environment to support IGC Spiral 2 requirements". Is it the government's intent to eliminate the pre-production platform on the low side? Will the high side production environment be the only environment on the high side?	That is correct. With consolidation of IDE and P3I Test environments in the same Government facility, we will no longer need a separate "pre-production" environments. We will "convert" pre-production to "high-Side Production". The only other high-side environment will be COOP/GES.	Brian Dudek
131	IA	Request clarification, regarding Key Personnel duty descriptions. Nine of the ten Key Personnel positions require IAM Level III certification and many require both IAM Level III and IAT Level III certification. The referenced DoD Directive 8750.1 covers the "IA Workforce." The two KEY IA positions, the A3. Lead System Engineer (Security) SSE and the A4. Information Security Specialist (Lead), are clearly part of the DoD IA Workforce and come under the scope of the referenced document. The other key personnel, program managers, systems/software engineers, architects, etc, appear to be outside the scope of the directive and should not require IA Workforce training/certification. Please clarify how the IA Workforce directive applies to the other key positions.	We prefer IAM and IAT Level III certification for all of the positions, but only require them for the Systems Engineer (Security) SSE, Information Security Specialist (Lead), and Engineering (Lead). See Amendment 0008.	Brian Dudek
132	IA	In reference to PWS 2.3.4 and 2.8.2.3 which refer to "Information Assurance, Security Architecture and Engineering (IASAE) qualifications, experience, and certification." Please specify the DoD reference where these qualifications, experience, and certification requirements are documented.	The Architecture and Engineering reference is there to accommodate DoD 8570.1-M per Para C1.1.2. For information only.	Brian Dudek

Questions & Answers

Note: The questions & answers provided below reflect the releasable answers to RFQ Package questions as of 7 FEB 2008.

| 133 | Acquisition | In Amendment 0006 dated 1/31/2008, the Orals slides were listed as being due on February 25, 2008 per the table on page 39 of 82. In the Q&A responses posted 2/1/2008, questions 125 and 126 both indicated that the Orals slides were due to the government on 2/22/2008. Please clarify. | It's a typo. The checklist is correct. Should be February 22nd. | Brian Dudek |